

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.253**CWP-14556-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.11.2023****ZILE SINGH****.....Petitioner****versus****STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS****.....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana for respondent Nos.1 to 4.

Ms. Anu Garg, Advocate for
Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate for the applicant in
CM-10883-CWP-2022 and CM-10886-CWP-2022.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 13.05.2021 (Annexure P-4) passed by respondent No.2 and order dated 07.01.2022 (Annexure P-5) passed by respondent No.3, vide which, benefit of ACP granted to the petitioner has been withdrawn.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned State counsel are *ad idem* that the issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment of this Court dated 19.07.2023 passed in CWP-11777-2022, titled as “*Sahib Ram and Others vs. State of Haryana and Others*” and Connected Matters and has submitted that the present petition be also disposed of in the same terms. Relevant portion of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“xxx xxx xxx xxx

14. *Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, all the writ petitions are partly allowed and the impugned action of respondents is set aside.*

Liberty is, however, granted to the respondent authorities to proceed against the petitioners, in accordance with law, after issuing show cause notice and after giving them an opportunity to file a reply to the same and then to pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

15. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and has set aside the impugned action only on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice and it would be open to the respondent authorities to decide the same independently and in accordance with law.

16. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid judgment.

xxx xxx xxx xxx”

3. In view of the joint submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, the present writ petition is disposed of in terms of the abovesaid judgment.

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

22.11.2023
Pawan/sim

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>