

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. COCP-1865-2020 (O&M)

Pranjal Chauhan ...Petitioner

Versus

A. K. Singh and others ...Respondents

2. COCP-1870-2020 (O&M)

Sanjeev Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

A. K. Singh and others ...Respondents

3. COCP-2044-2020 (O&M)

Lekh Ram Rawat and another ...Petitioners

Versus

A. K. Singh and others ...Respondents

4. COCP-2680-2020 (O&M)

Saranbir Dhindsa and others ...Petitioners

Versus

A. K. Singh and others ...Respondents

5. COCP-2713-2020 (O&M)

Kamal Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

A. K. Singh and others ...Respondents

6. COCP-2735-2020 (O&M)

Darshna ...Petitioner

Versus

A. K. Singh and others ...Respondents

Date of decision: 17.02.2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rohit Johar, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Addl. A. G., Haryana.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate,
Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate,
Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate,
Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of above mentioned six
contempt petitions as they are similar in nature.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the refund has
already been made to the petitioners, except petitioner Sanjeev Kumar, who
has filed above COCP-1870-2020, as his claim has been rejected.

In view of the above, the present petitions are rendered
infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

Dismissed as infructuous.

The petitioners are granted liberty to avail the alternative
remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

Let a photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other
connected cases.

17.02.2023

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable*

*Yes/No
Yes/No*