

2023:PHHC:075220
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-750-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.05.2023

RAJWATI

.... Appellant

Versus

ANARI DEVI AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr.Jagjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr.Kunal Dawar, Advocte for the appellant.

Mr.Bijender Dhankar, Advocate for the respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

1. The present revision has been filed by the complainat under Section 11 (4) of the Probation of Offence Act, 1958 being aggrieved by order of learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Palwal.
2. Learned counsel for the appellant clearly states that the probation was granted by the Appellate Court to the accused-respondents was under challenge. However, the issue has become academic as the two years has already over. Compensation has also been granted by the trial Court to the tune of Rs.20,000/- to be paid for each of the accused to the revisioner which she has already received. Counsel for the appellant, however, states that the compensation may be enhanced.
3. I have considered the submission and taking into consideration and all other aspects, this Court finds that the appellant has already intiated proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C for claiming maintenance as against her

4. In view thereof, no further orders are required to be passed. The revision has become otiose in view of the above said order.

The revision petition is accordingly disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

24.05.2023
Raman