

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-16209-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 25.09.2023

Santosh

..... Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Administration, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present :- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sanjeev Ghai, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

-. -

-. -

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel representing respondents No. 1 to 3 and learned counsel for respondent No. 4 have submitted that the petitioner had concealed material facts in the writ petition. Learned counsel representing respondents No. 1 to 3 has further referred to the short reply filed by way of affidavit of Shri Sanjay Sahni, Executive Engineer, Project Public Health Division No. 3, Chandigarh to contend that the deceased son of petitioner was an employee of contractor whose firm M/s R.R. Builders was awarded contract for running and maintenance of SWD System along various road in U.T. It is submitted that the said contractor had already made a payment of Rs. 10,00,000/- to petitioner, vide cheque No. 359138, dated 29.05.2016, drawn on Indian Bank, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3 has further submitted that as far as the grievance of petitioner regarding further action

in FIR No. 164, dated 28.05.2016 is concerned, it is informed that challan has already been presented in the said case FIR and the matter is presently fixed for evidence on 03.10.2023.

A perusal of paper book further reveals that on 17.02.2023, there was no representation on behalf of petitioner. The same is the position today as well.

It appears that petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the present litigation.

Accordingly, the instant writ petition is dismissed for non prosecution.

(HARSH BUNGER)
JUDGE

25.09.2023
sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No