

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-15370-2021

Date of decision : 10.04.2023

M/s Vimal Advertisers

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Alok Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Zorawar Singh Chauhan, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Samarth Sagar, Advocate
for respondent no.3.

Mr.Arvind Moudgil, Sr.Counsel, Govt. of India with
Ms.Jyotika Panesar, Advocate and
Mr.Karminder Singh, Advocate
for respondents no.4 and 5.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned notices dated 16.07.2021 (Annexure P-10) and 02.08.2021 (Annexure P-11).

On 07.02.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.3 has submitted in the present case, the contract which was in favour of the petitioner has elapsed on November, 2022 and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous. It is further submitted that the hoardings erected are in violation of the orders

passed by the Division Bench of this Court as well as the undertaking tendered in COCP-2695-2012.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment to get instructions in the matter.

Adjourned to 14.02.2023.

To be taken up at 1.45 PM.

February 07, 2023”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since the contract period has lapsed, thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and prayed that liberty be granted to the petitioner to avail alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

In view of the same, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 10, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No