

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

133

CWP-12565-2023
Date of Decision: 20.09.2023
...Petitioner

Yogesh Kumar

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. S.K. Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Arihant Goyal, Central Government Counsel,
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is seeking setting aside of order dated 05.04.2023 whereby request for revocation of resignation of the petitioner has been declined.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner, at this stage, would be redressed, if respondent is directed to decide representation dated 25.04.2023 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents asserts that representation dated 25.04.2023 (Annexure P-9) of the petitioner would be decided within two months from today in accordance with law.
4. In view of statements of learned counsels for the parties, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.09.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No