

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14751-2022

Date of decision : 08.12.2023

Mohd. Abid alias Mangat Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Union Territory and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Mohd. Salim, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Jain, Addl. Standing Counsel
for respondent no.1-U.T. Chandigarh

Mr.Deepak Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr.G.S.Wasu, Advocate for respondents no.2 and 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondents no.1 to 3 to supply the duplicate allotment letter in respect of Dwelling Unit no.1909, Indira Colony, Manimajra, U. T. Chandigarh pertaining to the petitioner along with other supporting documents on the basis of DDR no.28 dated 14.01.2016 registered at Police Station Information Technology Park, Chandigarh.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 15.09.2021 (Annexure P-15) and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the representation is considered by the competent authority of

respondent no.2 in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.2 would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no.2 to consider the representation dated 15.09.2021 of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.2 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 08, 2023.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No