

253-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14118-2020

Date of decision : 16.02.2023

Vishavjeet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Panjab University and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate and
Ms. Ridhi Bansal, Advocate and
Ms. Sidhi Bansal, Advocate and
Ms. R. Akanksha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing guidelines/fee notice for Provincial Admission Session 2020-21 dated 04.08.2020 (Annexure P-2) as well as subsequent notice dated 11.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) issued in continuation of said guidelines/fee notice for Provincial Admission Session 2020-21 vide which, no relief has been granted in lieu of semester fees for upcoming semester (August-December 2020).

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in the present case, there are 11 petitioners and they would submit their independent representations mentioning the circumstances, on the basis of which, fees paid by them is required to be adjusted/refunded. It is further submitted that the

petitioners would be satisfied, in case, the Registrar of Panjab University-respondent No.3 would take a decision on the same within some specified time frame.

Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that respondent No.3-Registrar, Panjab University would take a decision on the individual representations made by the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of said representations. It is further submitted that in case, respondent No.3 rejects the claim of the petitioners, then, speaking orders would be passed regarding the same.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with the following terms:-

- 1) It would be open to the petitioners to make individual representations to respondent No.3 mentioning the circumstances, on the basis of which, they are seeking adjustment/refund of fee.
- 2) Respondent No.3 would consider and decide the said representations within a period of two months from the date of receipt of said representations.
- 3) In case, respondent No.3 rejects the claim of the petitioners, then speaking orders regarding the same would be passed.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the cases of the petitioners and the representations would be considered by the Registrar independently, on their merits, in accordance with law.

16.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No