

101 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5346-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.05.2023

Gurpreet alias BhajjiPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S.WALIA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Present: Ms. Shivani Jaglan, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. A.G., Haryana

B.S.Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 3(1)(c) of the Haryana Good Conduct Prisoners (Temporary Release) Act, 2022, is for extension of parole granted to the petitioner by the Jail Superintendent, District Jail, Karnal, vide order Annexure P/1 dated 23.04.2023, in case FIR No.273 dated 14.11.2016, U/s 148, 149, 341, 302 of IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, Police Station Hassanpur, District Palwal, for a period of two weeks i.e. w.e.f. 08.06.2023 to 21.06.2023, to enable the petitioner to harvest his fields.

2. At the outset, learned Addl. AG, Haryana, states that in view of the petitioner being currently on parole, which was granted to him vide order Annexure P/3 dated 24.04.2023, for 44 days w.e.f. 24.04.2023, the petitioner is not entitled to extension of parole in view of Section 11(5) of the Act ibid, which provides that no parole or furlough shall be extended in any case.

3. Faced with the aforementioned position, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw the instant petition with liberty to seek parole etc., in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty as prayed for.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

31.05.2023

rajesh

(LALIT BATRA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No