

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CWP-1140-2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 13.12.2023**

SUKHDEV RAI KAUSHAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

**THE REGISTRAR, PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AND
OTHERS**

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- None for the parties.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present writ petition had been filed for seeking quashing of the report of the District and Sessions Judge forwarded to the High Court and the orders passed by the Hon'ble Acting Chief Justice on 20.06.2012 vide which the complaint of the petitioner had been filed.

The matter had been taken up on 20.01.2022 but an adjournment slip had been circulated on behalf of the petitioner. The case was thereafter taken up on 29.08.2022. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner and an adjournment slip has been circulated by the counsel for respondents No.1 and 2. While giving a further date, it was recorded that no further adjournment shall be given in the matter. It had also been directed that the parties be informed about the next date of hearing. Intimation in this regard is reported to have been sent by the office to the concerned parties. The

case was taken up again on 21.03.2023, however, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The case was adjourned in the interest of justice to 09.08.2023, however, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner even on the said date. The case was adjourned once again to 03.10.2023 in the interest of justice and an order was passed again that the petitioner be notified about the date fixed. The case was taken up on the adjourned date i.e. on 03.10.2023 when it was noticed that the notice issued to petitioner has not been received back served or otherwise. A fresh order was passed to notify the petitioner about the date of hearing.

The case has been taken up again on today. Report of the office has been received as per which the notice has been received back unserved with a report that the petitioner has left the address and does not reside at the given address. The whereabouts of the petitioner are thus unknown.

Under the given circumstances, this Court has no option but to assume that the petitioner is no more interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

All the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, are also disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 13, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No