

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CR-3401-2023

Date of Decision :31.05.2023

Mamta

...Petitioner

Versus

Mukesh Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that enough evidence has already been come on record to show that contradictory stand has been taken by the respondent qua the possession of the property in question hence, the present revision petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to agitate the same before the Court below to prove the said contention being raised by the petitioner in the present revision petition qua the possession of the respondent over the property in question from the evidence which has already come on record.

Ordered accordingly.

May 31, 2023

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No