

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.3361 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Order:21.12.2023

Dilbag

.Petitioner

Versus

Ramphal and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. R.A.Sheoran, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. With the sincere efforts of the learned counsel representing the parties, a settlement has been arrived at between the parties. A written deed of settlement has been produced before the Court by the learned counsel representing the parties. A bare perusal of the deed of settlement shows that Sh. Ramphal, respondent no.1, has not signed the same.

2. The learned counsel representing the respondents submits that Sh. Ramphal consents to the aforesaid settlement.

3. The deed of settlement is taken on record as mark-'C1'. However, this settlement deed is required to be signed by Sh. Ramphal, respondent no.1.

4. This revision petition has been filed against the interlocutory order passed by the trial Court which was partly modified by the Additional District Judge. The suit is still pending before the trial Court.

5. The learned counsel representing the respondents undertakes

that Sh. Rampal will appear before the trial Court on the next date of hearing and sign the aforesaid deed of settlement.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, the revision petition is disposed of in terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties. This deed of settlement, in original, shall be forwarded to the trial Court for making it a part of the record. A photocopy of the deed of settlement shall be retained on the record of this Court before forwarding it to the trial Court.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

December 21, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO