

114+115

2023:PHHC:042844

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 23.03.2023

1. COCP-1321-2022 (O&M)

Kewal Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

2. COCP-1323-2022 (O&M)

Jaswant Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

3. COCP-1329-2022 (O&M)

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

4. COCP-1312-2022 (O&M)

Gurmukh Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

Kulwinder Singh Virk and ors.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 9.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner(s) allege violation of the order dated 10.07.2017,
vide which status quo regarding possession was directed to be maintained.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that BDPO, Village
Dhilwan, District Kapurthala, in violation of the aforesaid order, sought
information from the Gram Panchayat, Village Mand Chakoki, District
Kapurthala as to why the action is not being taken.

As per compliance affidavits of DDPO, Kapurthala as well as of
Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Chakoki, Tehsil Dhilwan, District Kapurthala, in

fact only a query was put by BDPO, who has been suspended and neither any auction proceedings took place nor any effort to take possession was made.

In view of the above, no willful disobedience is made out.

Accordingly, both these petitions are dismissed.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

23.03.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No