

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

252

CRM-M-6786-2018
Date of Decision: 11th September, 2023

Hamida and others ... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. A.S.Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR mentioned below :-

FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
0197		Nuh, District Mewat	420, 467, 468, 471, 500, 506 IPC, 1860

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 has died.

3. Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Ajay Kumar submits that trial is at advance stage as out of total eight prosecution witnesses seven witnesses have been examined.

4. Considering the stage of the trial and in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, learned counsel for the petitioner is not pressing the petition at this stage.

5. Dismissed as not pressed.

6. Needless to say that in case of factual discrepancy in the statement made by learned counsel for the State, the petitioners would be at liberty to move an appropriate application.
7. Since the main case has been dismissed as not pressed the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

11th September, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No