

CRR No.98 of 2015

Date of Decision: February 13, 2023

Jagdish

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Veeraj Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate for respondents
No.2 to 5.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Grievance of the petitioner is that while releasing the respondents on probation vide judgment dated 21.10.2014, learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mansa did not grant any compensation to the petitioner- injured. Learned counsel has relied upon **State through CBI, Anti Corruption Branch, Chandigarh Vs. Sanjiv Bhalla and another, 2014(4) R.C.R. (Criminal)** wherein it has been held by Hon'ble Supreme Court that while releasing the accused on probation, if it is not possible to grant compensation to the victim of a crime, then trial Judge must record his/her reasons.

On perusal of the file, it is revealed that two simple injuries were caused to the petitioner. The matter is of 2009. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be satisfied if an amount of ₹10,000/- is ordered to be paid to petitioner by respondents No.2 to 5.

This petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to pay an amount of ₹10,000/- as compensation to

the petitioner – complainant – victim of the crime within a period of one month from today.

February 13, 2023
renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No