

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12307-2023

Date of decision: 03.11.2023

Veena Malik

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Ms. Nivedita Malik Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner herein is the claimant/landowner. Concededly, pursuant to the acquisition proceedings initiated by the State, her land, situated in village Kharawar, Tehsil Rohtak Sampla, was acquired. In terms of the policy dated 09.11.2010 (P-1), petitioner applied for allotment of a plot in the oustee category as back as on 12.8.2013 (P-3). It is not in dispute either, that all this while, petitioner has been getting annuity in lieu of the acquisition of her land holding. However, the limited grievance that she has is: even though a decade has gone by, but her claim/interest for allotment of a plot, in terms of the policy (*ibid*), remains unaddressed.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, is present in Court. He submits that concerns/grievances of the petitioner, as set out in the petition, shall be examined by the competent authority, and the necessary orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within four weeks from today. And, if necessary, petitioner shall also be afforded an opportunity of hearing, before such orders are passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by the learned State counsel, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in view of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned State counsel.

Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

03.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No