

2023:PHHC:094859

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13718-2023

Date of decision: 26.07.2023

INDER PAL SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Gagan Pradeep S. Bal, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioners have retired from the Armed Forces i.e. Army, Air Force and Navy and are Ex Servicemen. Learned counsel further contends that in pursuance of the selection process initiated by the respondent-bank, for selecting Clerks-cum-data entry operator etc., the petitioners had applied therein for the sake of appointment thereto and were selected on the said posts. It is further contended that petitioner have suffered in as much as the other Ex-servicemen who have performed in same terms as the petitioners in the Army etc. and employed in other Banks are being paid for higher salaries and emoluments i.e. more than Rs. 70,000/- P.M. (Approx) in contrast to the petitioners who are being paid Rs. 19,900/- (basic) in the respondent-bank in the State of Punjab. Learned counsel submits that petitioners though were appointed in the respondent co-operative bank but the pay scales, dearness allowance, the deduction and all such collateral benefits which were released to the petitioners were far less and miniscule in comparison to all the other ex-servicement who were employed in other banks like SBI etc. Learned counsel submits that as all the petitioners have filed similar representations raising their similar grievance, however, the

been annexed as Annexure P-18 to avoid unnecessary tagging of similar representations and confines himself to the limited prayer that representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-18) sent to respondents No. 4 to 6 by petitioner No. 1 shall be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Arun Gupta, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 and does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above and with the consent of both the parties the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 6 to decide the representation dated 11.11.2022 (Annexure P-18) submitted by the petitioner No. 1 within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

26.07.2023

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No