

217 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH
CRR-819-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.04.2023

Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority
...Petitioner

V/S

Sarmukh Singh ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. Oral

Instant revision petition has been filed seeking prayer that the present petition be accepted and the order dated 03.12.2014 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana be set aside and the sentence of the respondent accused be enhanced.

Present case pertains to the year 2015. Notice of motion was issued on 28.04.2015 for 31.07.2015.

On 31.07.2015 learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to furnish current and complete address of respondent. On 02.11.2015, learned counsel for the petitioner sought time to ascertain status of service of un-served respondent and case was adjourned to 04.12.2015.

On 04.12.2015 case was called but neither anyone has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner to argue the case nor any request for pass over was made.

When the case was listed on 23.07.2016, learned counsel for

the petitioner sought time to comply with the order dated 04.12.2015.

On 07.12.2017 the case was adjourned on the request of counsel for the petitioner and thereafter on 12.12.2017, the case was adjourned on joint request of the parties. However, on 24.04.2018, the case was adjourned to 26.09.2018, as Court time was over.

Since, 26.09.2018, no one had put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner and even today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to pursue the present petition.

In view of the above the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

28.04.2023
sapna

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No