

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Arbitration Case No. 260 of 2022

Date of decision: 8th May, 2023

M/s Singla Pawan Associates

Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd.

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nandan Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Prateek Mahajan and Ms. Sonali Sharma, Advocates
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This is a petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short, 'the Act') for appointment of an arbitrator for adjudication of disputes between the parties.
2. The petitioner was allotted tender for engagement of audit firm of Chartered Accountant. Clause 22 of the general terms and conditions provides for dispute resolution through arbitration.
3. The petitioner issued notice dated 26.05.2019 for appointment of arbitrator. On failure to do the needful, the present petition was filed.
4. Learned counsel for the parties on instructions from the clients agree to the appointment of Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate as sole arbitrator.
5. The petition is accordingly disposed of by appointing Mr. Raman Kumar, Advocate, # 420-B, Dashmesh Nagar, backside Dhillon Farm, Nayagaon, District Mohali as the sole arbitrator. The arbitrator is appointed subject to declaration to be made by him under Section 12 of the Act with regard to his independence and impartiality to settle the dispute between the parties.
6. The arbitrator shall be paid fee in accordance with the Fourth

Schedule of the Act, as amended.

7. The arbitrator is requested to complete the proceedings as per time limit specified under Section 29A of the Act.
8. It is clarified that the reference shall be subject to the petitioner's complying with all the requirements of the agreement.
9. Copy of the order be sent to the appointed arbitrator.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]

JUDGE

8th May, 2023

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No