

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13928-2020

Date of decision : 26.07.2023

Parvesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for issuance of direction to the Vigilance Bureau, Haryana or to any other competent authority to investigate the affairs of the Gram Panchayat, Village Tatka, Sub Tehsil Babain, District Kurukshetra regarding corruption in the Panchayat as respondents No.3 and 4 are not exercising their powers as per Section 51 of the Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that notice was issued in this case on 09.09.2020 whereby the assertion of counsel for the petitioner that no action is being taken against the respondent for recovery of the amount of Rs.65,951/- has been meted out. He has drawn the attention of this Court to the reply dated 24.03.2022 filed by the State wherein it has been mentioned that requisite action has already been taken against respondent No.6 and the amount of

Rs.65,951/- has already been recovered. He submits that in view of the same, the grievances raised by the petitioner in this petition has already been redressed.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for the State when requisite action has already been taken and the amount has already been recovered, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.07.2023
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No