

2023:PHHC:080240

**210 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-27342-2023

Date of Decision: 01.06.2023

JASHANDEEP SINGH @ YUVRAJ SINGH ... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr.Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishnu Dutt, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in the case bearing FIR No. 106 dated 25.12.2022 under Sections 323/341/379-B/34 IPC (Sections 379-B (2), 201, 411 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Maloud, Police District Khanna, District Ludhiana.

2. Custody certificate has been placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that precisely, the allegations against the petitioner and the co-accused are with regard to snatching of mobile phone and recovery of Rs.11,500/- from the complainant and also inflicting injury on his person. It has been submitted that the dispute has been amicably settled with the complainant and Annexure P-2 is the affidavit of complainant in this regard. The petitioner is in custody for a period of 05 months and 02 days and not involved in any other case.

3. Learned State counsel has opposed the bail application on the score that mobile phone has been recovery from the petitioner and injury on the head has been attributed to him.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant submits that he has no objection if the bail application is allowed.

5. It may be mentioned here that the the injury attributed to the petitioner falls under Section 323 IPC. The recovery has been effected and the dispute is stated to have been amicably settled with the complainant. Investigation of the case is complete and challan has been presented in the Court. The petitioner is in custody for a period of 05 months and 02 days and not involved in any other case. The conclusion of trial is likely to take some and no fruitful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner in further custody.

6. In such circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, without making any observation on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

01.06.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No