

270

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 27468 of 2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.12.2023

Kuldeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Ashok K. Sharma Bhana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Viney Phogat, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. the petitioner prays for quashing of case FIR No. 0062 dated 29.01.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 24, 406, 420 and 506 of IPC, Police Station Assandh, District Karnal, alongwith all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 26.04.2023 (Annexure P-2).

[2] This Court while issuing notice of motion vide order dated 29.05.2023 and subsequent order dated 12.09.2023, directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements with regard to the validity of compromise.

[3] In pursuance of subsequent order dated 12.09.2023 passed by this Court, a report dated 28.09.2023 has been received from the concerned Court, stating that compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence. No accused has been declared as proclaimed offender.

[4] Thus once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No. 2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioner; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR qua the petitioner. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the present circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

[5] The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

[6] Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the petition is **allowed** and the FIR (supra) as

well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioner.

[7] The aforesaid order shall, however, be subject to payment of costs of Rs. 10,000/- to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

December 05, 2023
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>