

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-11776-2023 (O&M)  
Decided on :20.11.2023

Anil Devi

. .Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

PRESENT: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI , J. (Oral)**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of benefit of regularization under 2003 policy has been declined by the respondents-department on the ground that the petitioner was not the employee of the respondents-department itself, whereas in the award dated 22.01.2019 (Annexure P-1), it has already come on record that petitioner was working with the respondents- department, hence, the claim of the petitioner has been rejected by taking into consideration the incorrect facts.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-department and submits that he has instructions that the impugned order dated 14.11.2019 (Annexure P-5) may kindly be treated as withdrawn qua the petitioner with liberty to pass a fresh order by considering all the correct facts as noticed in the present writ petition within a period of **eight weeks** of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view

the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)  
JUDGE

**20.11.2023**

*Riya*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:* Yes/No

*Whether Reportable:* Yes/No