

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. COCP-1872-2020 (O&M)

Kirpa Ram and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Md. Raquim and others ...Respondents

2. COCP-1816-2020 (O&M)

Braham Pal and others ...Petitioners

Versus

Md. Raquim and others ...Respondent

3. COCP-1947-2020 (O&M)

Dhanpat through LRs ...Petitioners

Versus

Md. Raquim and others ...Respondent

Date of decision: 01.02.2023

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajit Singh Lamba, Advocate
for respondent No. 2 in all the petitions.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

This common order shall dispose of above mentioned three
contempt petitions as they are similar in nature.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 2, at the very outset,
submits that a part of the order has been stayed by the LPA Bench, whereas
the remaining part of the order has already been complied with. This fact is

not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petitions are rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioners are granted liberty to file a fresh petition after the decision in the LPA, if so required.

Let a photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

01.02.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>