

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-M-27191-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2023

VINOD ALIAS VINOD KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Shubham Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Shukla, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.45, dated 04.04.2023, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 457, 380, 342 IPC (Section 395 IPC added subsequently) (Annexure P-1) registered at Police Station Doraha, District Ludhiana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR. The only evidence that has been collected against him is in the form of his own extra-judicial confession, wherein, it is claimed that the petitioner in a tata canter transported the stolen material. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has already suffered incarceration of more than 03 months and 20 days. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.
3. The aforesaid assertions made by learned counsel for the petitioner

has not been controverted by counsel for the State.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.
5. Keeping in view the fact that investigation already stands concluded and there is no apprehension of petitioner tampering with the evidence, therefore, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Ld. Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
6. Needless to say nothing recorded herein shall be construed to be an expression of an opinion on the merits of the case.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

July 31, 2023
Amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No