

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30971-2022

Date of Decision: January 12, 2023

ROBIN SINGH @ BOBBY

....Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. N.P.S. Hira, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in case FIR No.271 dated 31.12.2019 under Sections 307, 212, 216, 468, 471, 473, 482, 34 IPC and 25, 27 Arms Act registered at Police Station Beas Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the FIR, no specific injury has been attributed to have been caused by the petitioner who was alleged to be armed with gun and has fired two bullets.

One of the assailants in the FIR has been stated to be unknown and the injuries defined therein, out of two bullet injuries is one on the left arm and another on the bicep/right thigh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also laid much stress on the period of custody i.e. 02 years 11 months and 01 day.

Learned State counsel has produced the custody certificate which is taken on record, according to which the custody period as alleged

by the counsel for the petitioner is stand corroborated, however, there are numerous other cases pending against the petitioner in which he is on bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner faced with the situation, vehemently, contends that pendency of other FIRs do not come in predicament for grant of bail in the present FIR and relies upon the judgment ***“Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi Vs. State of U.P. and another”***

Considering the aforesaid fact, the trial is likely to take some more time and no recovery has been effected. Neither any custodial interrogation is required nor the trial has commenced though the case is moving at a snail's pace, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail, subject to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate Amritsar.

Disposed of.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

12.01.2023

Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No