

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31716-2021
Date of Decision:-18.01.2023

TEJINDER PAL SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent(s)

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-. -

Present:- Mr. Harmanpreet Singh Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chaman Lal Pawar, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

-. -

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in case having FIR No.60 dated 20.06.2013 registered under Sections 279, 337, 338, 427 IPC (Section 22 NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station Sangat District Bathinda.

Counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that initially FIR was registered under Sections 279, 337, 338 and 427 IPC against the petitioner in June, 2013 alleging that he caused motor vehicle accident while driving scooter No.PB03J-1806 and immediately after the registration of the FIR the aforesaid scooter was taken into possession by the police and after

lapse of about 2 years offence under NDPS Act was added by the police alleging that certain medical intoxicants were recovered from the ‘*Dicky*’ of the scooter. The counsel for the petitioner further submits that at the initial stage when the FIR was registered no such contraband was found and only thereafter offence under Section 22 NDPS Act was added on 3.2.2015 and further the petitioner has joined investigation with the police and challan stands presented.

State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurshaib Singh submits that the petitioner has joined investigation with the police and after completion of investigation police has presented challan that the petitioner is not required by police for further investigation or for custodial interrogation.

The counsel for the petitioner has given undertaking that the petitioner will regularly appear before the trial Court during the trial.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby allowed and order dated 24.1.2022 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner should abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and also should appear before the trial Court on the each and every date fixed in the trial.

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

18.01.2023
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking? Yes / No

Whether reportable? Yes / No