

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(102)

CRM-M-28769-2022.
Date of Decision:-14.03.2023.

Aakriti Malik

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pannu, DAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

The present petition is for cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 in case FIR No.280 dated 12.03.2022 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Barwala, District Hisar (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the anticipatory bail has been granted to respondent No.2 without considering the fact that all the bills with regard to the dowry articles were submitted to the Investigating Officer but no recovery has been effected and the said fact has been admitted by the Investigating Officer, however, there is nothing on record to substantiate the said averments. Moreso, non recovery of dowry articles is not a sole ground for cancellation of bail.

The second argument raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that after the grant of anticipatory bail to respondent No.2-

accused, he has threatened the petitioner for which the petitioner has submitted a complaint on 14.06.2022.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

It is a settled principle of law that the parameters for grant of anticipatory bail/bail are different from those required for cancellation of bail. Moreso, the cancellation of bail has to be first applied before the Court which has granted the anticipatory bail/bail, which has not been done in the present petition.

In light of the above, I do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

March 14, 2023.
Sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No