

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-5262-2023

Date of Decision:13.07.2023

Naveen Mittal

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Arun K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

Mr. Sarvesh Sabharwal, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4 by filing his Power of Attorney and the same is taken on record.

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner, as he apprehends threat at the hands of respondent No.4 and his associates.

Pursuant to the notice, a reply has been filed by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel submits that both the parties were joined and during the course of enquiry, it has been found that both the parties are

businessmen and a financial dispute is pending between them, which is of civil nature. He further contends that there is no threat or danger at the hands of respondent No.4 and in case, the petitioner apprehends any threat to his life and liberty, he is at liberty to make a representation in this regard to the SHO concerned or higher officials and appropriate action shall be taken on the same.

On the other hand, learned counsel representing respondent No.4 submits that the respondent No.4 has never threatened the petitioner and he has a financial dispute with the petitioner. He further contends that the respondent No.4 shall avail his alternative remedy before the learned Civil Court, in accordance with law.

In view of the statements made by learned State counsel as well as learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.4 nothing survives in the present petition and the same is accordingly disposed of.

Needless, to say that the petitioner is at liberty to avail his alternative remedies, if any, before the learned Civil Court in accordance with law.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

13.07.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No