

264

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17628-2022

Date of decision : 16.02.2023

Jasvir Singh @ Jaswinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Puggal, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 5.

Mrs. Raman Rekhi, Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer for directing the official respondents to proceed as per the provisions of Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, on the basis of enquiry conducted and to recover the amount from respondent No.4.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from Mr. Gurmeet Singh, BDPO, Guru Harsahai, has submitted that in pursuance of enquiry, notice under Section 216 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 has been issued to respondent No.4 for recovery of an amount of

Rs.2,28,507/- and has further submitted that thus, the prayer of the petitioner has been satisfied and the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous.

Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in order to rebut the abovesaid aspect.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives to the petitioner.

16.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No