

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

236

**CWP-14745-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.03.2023**

Dr. Vijay Kumar Aggarwal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: None for the petitioner.

 Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG Haryana.

 Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.3.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the practice of the concerned respondent not to relieve the petitioner during vacation period as per the directions passed in Civil Appeal No. 4600-1985 titled as “Rattan Lal and Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.”.

Today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Even on 15.09.2022 and 09.03.2023, the situation remained the same.

Short reply on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 submitted by the learned State counsel in the Court is taken on record.

Learned State counsel points out that as per Paras 4 and 5, in compliance of the order dated 29.05.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench, the petitioner was allowed to remain in service and he was paid salary during

vacations as he was not relieved during vacations. At the time of filing of present writ petition i.e. in the year 2019, the age of the petitioner was 63 years. He was relieved from the services on 27.07.2020, after attaining the age of 65 years, as no contractual employees could be continued beyond the age of 65 years in view of the instructions issued by the Government. The present petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

27.03.2023
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No