

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(225)

CRM-M-27115-2023

Date of decision: - 18.10.2023

Lalit

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Arshdeep Kaur, Advocate
for Mr. Sukhjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is the third petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.641 dated 04.10.2021, under Sections 302, 201 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Sector 10, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

2. Learned State counsel has pointed out that out of total 23 prosecution witnesses, 15 witnesses have been examined and 2 have been given up and thus, only 6 witnesses remain to be examined. It is submitted that the said remaining 6 witnesses would also be produced before the trial Court on the next date of hearing or immediately thereafter and every endeavour would be made to get the said witnesses examined within a period of three months from today.

3. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks

to withdraw the present petition, at this stage, but has stated that the State be directed to produce the remaining witnesses as expeditiously as possible and the trial Court be also directed to examine the said witnesses as the petitioner is in custody since 08.10.2021.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, at this stage. The respondent-State is directed to produce the remaining witnesses before the trial Court on the next date of hearing or immediately thereafter and the trial Court is directed to examine the said witnesses as expeditiously as possibly. Counsel for the accused in the trial Court is also directed to assist the trial Court in the expeditious examination of the said witnesses.

October 18, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No