

CRM-M-28825-2022(O&M)

Date of Decision :05.01.2023

Deepak

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Balram Prashar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satish Singla, AAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not press the instant petition and would be satisfied if the learned trial Court is directed to conduct and conclude the trial expeditiously.
2. The same is not opposed to by the learned AAG, Haryana.
3. In view of the position noted above as also in view of the statement of Mange Ram, wherein he has categorically stated that the petitioner was one of the two persons who had held his son while his son was stabbed, the instant petition is dismissed. Nothing stated hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case. However, the learned trial Court is requested to conduct and conclude the trial in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

05.01.2023

rajesh

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>