

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-3950-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.10.2023

Gobind Prashad
...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Sarun Hans, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

- [1] Challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 07.09.2015 vide which charges under Sections 380, 409, 379, 411, 120-B IPC and Section 136 of Electricity Act, registered at Police Station Urban Estate, Patiala were framed against the petitioner.
- [2] At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition has been rendered infructuous as during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has been acquitted by the trial Court vide judgment dated 15.03.2023. In the light of the same, it is prayed that the present revision petition be disposed of as such.
- [3] Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

October 20, 2023
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No