

203

2023:PHHC:146250

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13721-2020

Date of Decision:17.11.2023

M/S JANKI DASS FOODS AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Daman Dhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. A.P.S. Maan, Advocate for the respondents No.4 and 5.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to settle claim of the petitioners for transportation charges for KMS 2009-2010.
2. The respondent on account of non-acceptance of Paddy by other millers delivered extra Paddy to petitioners. The transportation was arranged by respondents, however, cost of transportation was paid by petitioners.
3. The petitioners raised issue of transportation charges before authorities. A long line of communication took place between different officers of State Government as well as MARKFED. A Committee was also framed.
4. In the wake of various communications made by officials of Food, Civil Supplies and Consumers Affairs as well as officials of MARKFED, this Court finds it appropriate to direct the MARKFED to re-look into the matter and put the litigation to rest. It would be in the interest of justice, if MARKFED before passing any order hear the petitioner.
5. Disposed of in the above terms.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

17.11.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No

