

2023:PHHC:079015

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRR(F)-860-2023

Date of Decision: 30.05.2023

Naveen Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Luxmi and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Ved Parkash, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

A perusal of the order dated 02.05.2023 reveals that the amount of Rs.8,000/- has been granted to the wife and Rs.6,000/- to the daughter as interim maintenance by the Family Court. Aggrieved to the said order, the present revision petition has been filed by the petitioner-husband.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that amount is on the much higher side as take home salary of the petitioner is only Rs.16,000/-. The aspect regarding the excess salary would be examined by the Court while passing the final order.

In my opinion, the interim maintenance has been granted on the basis of prima facie evidence which has come on record where the petitioner's income has been assessed at Rs.30,000/-.

In view thereof, no interference is warranted by this Court.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

30.05.2023

D.Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No