

201

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2023:PHHC:150125

CWP-164-2010

Date of Decision:24.11.2023

MITHAN LAL

Versus

..... Petitioner

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate for the respondents.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that present petition was part of bunch of petitions. There were 4 writ petitions. 3 writ petitions came to be transferred to Supreme Court which vide order dated 08.07.2013 dismissed said petitions alongwith a bunch of other petition.
2. The present case is squarely covered by judgment dated 08.07.2013 passed by Supreme Court in *‘Mohd. Jamal Vs. Union of India and others’ (2014) 1 SCC 201.*
3. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is dismissed.
4. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, thus, petitioner is at liberty to move an appropriate application, if something survives.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.11.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No