

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-26692-2023

Date of decision: 17.08.2023

Sukhdev Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Shobhit Rapria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.65 dated 09.05.2023 under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur.

2. On 25.05.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR which has been annexed as Annexure P-1, learned counsel inter alia contends that a perusal of the same clearly reveals that no allegation much less by way of any whisper has been made in the complaint and he is being sought to be implicated in the case in hand only because he happens to be the husband of co-accused Kiranjeet Kaur (Sarpanch), who allegedly lured the complainant to part with some money on the

pretext of providing a Government job to him. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 25.05.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. Learned State counsel, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 25.05.2023, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

17.08.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No