

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-27978-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.07.2023**

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Dr. Deepak Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner/complainant.

Mr. Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aakash Junjeja, Advocate
for respondent No. 2/accused.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for cancellation of regular bail granted to respondent No. 2/accused in case FIR No. 684 dated 12.11.2020, registered under Sections 323, 452, 326, 506 and 34 of the IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra, vide order dated 30.05.2022, passed by this Court in CRM-M-22296-2022.

A perusal of order dated 30.05.2022 shows that while granting the concession of regular bail to respondent No. 2, it was noticed that he was in judicial custody for a period of 01 year and 05 months; he was not involved in any other case and that he had volunteered to pay an amount of Rs. 50,000/- to the victim towards medical expenses and it was also noticed that conclusion of the trial would take some time.

The grounds taken in the present petition is that respondent No. 2/accused is misusing the concession of regular bail by extending threat

to the family of the petitioner/victim.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that after framing of charge against the additional accused, the case is now fixed for prosecution evidence on 05.09.2023.

On a Court query, whether any application has been given by the petitioner/victim regarding any threat being extended by respondent No. 2, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 submits that respondent No.2 has neither received any notice from the police authorities that he is extending any threat to petitioner nor he has extended any threat to petitioner in any manner.

Considering the fact that respondent No. 2 was granted the concession of regular bail by this Court on 30.05.2022 and in the intervening period, there is no complaint/DDR etc. with regard to any threat being extended by respondent No. 2 to the petitioner or his family members, I find no ground to cancel the regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

26.07.2023

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No