

**273 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-28155-2022

Date of Decision: 08.02.2023

Satish Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Naresh K. Chokkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Seeking quashing of FIR No.91 dated 24.04.2019 under Sections 148, 149, 188, 379, 447 & 506 IPC and Sections 25, 54 & 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Tigaon, District Faridabad, petitioners have come before this Court.

State counsel submits that during the pendency of present petition, charges have been framed.

Once a judicial has been passed and charges have been framed, it becomes mandatory to challenge judicial order which has overwritten the police report.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to file fresh petition challenging the framing of charges, if so desires. However, it is clarified that the petitioners shall have to justify the limitation because the present petition has been filed after framing of the charges.

It is further clarified that the time for which the present petition is pending before this Court, shall not be counted for the purpose of limitation as such, limitation period will start from today i.e. 08.02.2023.

All pending applications, if any, disposed of.

08.02.2023

ps-I

(ANOOP CHITKARA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/no

Whether reportable?

Yes/no