

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1585-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.05.2023**

Nafe Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Naresh Narwal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Rupinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P. K. Longia, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleging violation of the order dated 02.05.2023, passed by the writ Court in CWP-9331-2023, vide which, the following order is passed:

“The instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent concerned, to most expeditiously draw a valid, and, speaking decision on Annexure P-6, but after hearing all the affected persons concerned.”

A perusal of the aforesaid order shows that neither the facts are recorded in the said order nor any reasoning is given as to in what manner, the representation is to be decided.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to a communication, wherein the Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani has directed the BDPO, Bhiwani to take a speaking decision on the representation of the petitioner regarding unauthorized possession over the Panchayat's land, however, the said communication is not attached with this petition.

The order was passed by the writ Court on 02.05.2023 and the present contempt petition has been filed just after 20 days on 22.05.2023,

without waiting for a reasonable period of at least 03 months.

Even otherwise, the petitioner being the resident of the village is competent to file a petition under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act regarding unauthorized possession over the Panchayat's land.

Faced with the same, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition, at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

26.05.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No