

parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate (First Class), Gurdaspur, vide report dated 16.09.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate (First Class), Gurdaspur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and *“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since complainant/respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 28 dated 03.05.2014, under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 IPC, Section 326 IPC added lateron, registered at Police Station Ghuman Kalan, District Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

25.09.2023
preeti

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
	Whether reportable?	Yes/No