

CRM-M-25676-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CRM-M-25676-2020

Date of decision:- 25.07.2023

Guriqbal Singh @ Garry and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the private parties.

Mr. Arun Luthra, DAG, Punjab

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.87 dated 23.09.2019, registered for offences under Sections 307, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959, at Police Station Ramdas, Amritsar Rural, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 24.08.2020, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.

2. On 06.07.2023, this Court passed the following order:-

“Pursuant to order dated 09.02.2022 passed by this court, report has been received from the Trial Court, relevant extract of which is reproduced as under:-

“As per record submitted by IO and statement given by complainant:-

1. Six persons arrayed as accused in FIR.

- 2. None for the accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender.
- 3. In view of the statement suffered by complainant Baljit Singh, it appears that compromise has not been effected between complainant and accused persons.
- 4. None of the accused persons are involved in any other case.
- 5. Complainant Baljit Singh appeared and stated that he does not agree for the compromise with the accused persons.
- 6. Investigation is going on and challan has not been presented so far.”

There is no representation on behalf of the private parties.
In the interest of justice, adjourned to 25.07.2023.
To be shown in the urgent cause list.”

- 3. In the pre-lunch session, there was no representation on behalf of the private parties and a message was flashed on the electronic display board of this Court requesting the counsel for the parties to appear in the post-lunch session. However, even in the post-lunch session, both the private parties are unrepresented.
- 4. It seems that in view of the report reproduced above, the parties are not interested in pursuing the matter.
- 5. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

25.07.2023
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No