

109

KRISHAN

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G. Harvana

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1950 praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to provide compensation to the petitioner who is the father of the deceased.

Case has been called twice.

It is evident that there has been no representation on behalf of the petitioner since the last three dates of hearing successively.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, has apprised that the amount payable to the petitioner under the applicable rules has already been deposited on 08.08.2022.

Taking into consideration the statement made by the learned State counsel and also the fact that there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner since the last three dates of hearing successively, it seems that the petitioner is no longer interested to pursue the present petition.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 05, 2023.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

raj arora

JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No