

225

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14281-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.08.2023**

NIRMLA DEVI

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

...

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance of the petitioner is that she had joined the Education Department in the year 1996 as Class IV employee on part time basis and her services were regularized by the respondents w.e.f. 01.04.2011, whereas similarly situated persons have been regularized in service w.e.f. 01.10.2003 which has caused prejudice to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that liberty be granted to the petitioner to approach the respondents for consideration of her claim for regularization in service from the date same as the similarly situated persons have been regularized under regularization policy of the year 2003 and an appropriate speaking order be passed by the respondents in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any further prejudice.

3. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be decided in accordance with

law within a period of 8 weeks on receipt of any such representation. In case after the said decision, petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to her within a further period of 4 weeks.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as aforesaid.

5. Ordered accordingly.

10.08.2023
Jasmine Kaur

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No