

120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11954-2023

Date of decision: 30.05.2023

Magar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to expedite the proceedings pending before it with respect to amended petition titled as “Maghar Singh and others Vs. Ganga Devi and others” which is stated to be pending since 2004.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that since, the private respondents are moving one application after the other thus, the matter is being delayed. It is further submitted that even the Court is not proceeding with the matter in an expeditious manner in spite of the fact that the case is of the year 2004. It is contended that the petitioner would be

satisfied in case, the direction is given to respondent No.2 to expedite the proceedings and to culminate the same as expeditiously as possible.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that every endeavour would be made by respondent No.2 to decide the matter as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to expedite the proceedings and to decide the partition application titled as “Maghar Singh and others Vs. Ganga Devi and others” as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of one year from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case of the petitioner and respondent No.2 would decide the same independently and in accordance with law.

30.05.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No