

119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11411-2023

Date of decision: 19.07.2023

Parveen Kumar

.....Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for deemed promotion to the post of Personal Assistant from 23.12.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner was appointed as Accounts Clerk in 1990 and thereafter, he was appointed as Steno Typist in the year 1997. He further submits that after qualifying the test for promotion, he was promoted as Junior Scale Stenographer in the year 2010 and thereafter, the petitioner was promoted as Senior Scale Stenographer in the year 2017 but later on vide order dated 15.06.2018 he was deemed to be promoted w.e.f. 2015. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner was promoted as Personal Assistant in the year 2020 instead of 2018. He further submits that petitioner made a detailed representation dated 20.11.2020(Annexure P-3) to the respondent-Corporation for re-consideration the promotion case as Personal Assistant w.e.f. 23.12.2018. He further contends that when no order has been passed on the representation dated 20.11.2020 (Annexure P-3), petitioner sent a

request-cum-reminder dated 22.06.2022 (Annexure P-4) for reconsidering the

case for promotion to the post of Personal Assistant, which has not been taken into consideration till date and he would be satisfied if necessary directions are given for deciding the representations dated 20.11.2020 and 22.06.2022 (Annexure P-3 & P-4) in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No.1 and does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents No.2 to 4, filed his *power of attorney*, which is taken on record and does not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above and with the consent of both the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representations dated 20.11.2020 and 22.06.2022 (Annexure P-3 & P-4) submitted by the petitioner within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

19.07.2023
Sapna

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No