

(205)

2023:PHHC:078663

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-26601 of 2023

Date of Decision: May 30, 2023

Vishal Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate
with Ms. Himani, Advocate for the petitioner.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

By way of this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C., petitioner prays for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.48 dated 13.04.2023, registered under Sections 279/332/186/353/307/427/34 (Section 120-B IPC added later on) at Police Station Division No.1, Ludhiana. He is stated to be in custody since 15.04.2023.

FIR was lodged on the complaint of one Hardeep Singh, as per which, on 13.04.2023, he was on duty at Mata Rani Chowk. One Honda Amaze Car No.PB08-ED-6007 was signalled to be stopped as the driver was talking on the mobile phone. Initially driver slowed down the car but then he suddenly ran the car over the complainant. Complainant fell on the bonnet of the car and the driver sped. After some distance, complainant fell down. He received various injuries. Complainant came to know the name of the car driver as Mukul Mattu son of Rattu and that of the person sitting on the conductor seat as Mannu son of Mohan Lal.

It is contended by counsel for the petitioner that petitioner was neither driving the vehicle nor is owner of the car and that he has

been falsely implicated. It is further contended that petitioner had earlier made various complaint against the one ASI Jinder Sidhu and it is in collusion with him that the petitioner has been falsely implicated.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State.

It is submitted by learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Des Raj, that petitioner was sitting on the conductor seat of the car in question and his alias name is Mannu. However, it is conceded by learned State Counsel that petitioner was not driving the car in question.

Having regard to the afore-said facts and circumstances of the case, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

May 30, 2023

renu

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No