

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-14328-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.03.2023**

Surinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.**

Present:- Mr. Ram Avtar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL).

Having considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and in the light of the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***HUDA vs. Sandeep Singh and others 2016 (67) RCR Civil 691***, the petitioner can apply in pursuance to an advertisement which is issued for allotment of plots under the oustees category. In case the petitioner applies under the said policy in pursuance to the advertisement, the claim of the petitioner shall be considered accordingly.

This is stated irrespective of the decision as has been rendered in the case of the petitioner where the claim has been rejected vide the impugned orders dated 24.06.2008 (Annexure P-9), 15.11.2017 (Annexure P-13) and 05.09.2018/06.11.2018 (Annexure P-15).

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

20.03.2023

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No