

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(125+252)

CRM-M-26386-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 28.07.2023

Rajdeep Singh @ Raj and another
Versus
State of Punjab and another

...Petitioners
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Suneet Pal Singh Aulakh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S.Tung, DAG, Punjab for respondent No.1.

Ms. Kuljeet Kaur, Advocate and
Ms. Mandeep Nagpal, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

CRM-31238-2023

1. Application is allowed as prayed for.
2. Annexures R-1 and R-2 are taken on record.

Main case

1. At the outset, Mr. Prabhdeep Sigh Toor, Advocate, who had put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2, has sought and is granted permission to withdraw his Vakalatnama.
2. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.65 dated 22.03.2023, registered for offences under Sections 452, 294, 427 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Samrala, Police District Khanna, Annexure P-1, along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise dated 05.04.2023, Annexure P-2, arrived at between the parties.
3. Counsel representing respondent No.2 submits that although a compromise was entered into between the parties, but it was not voluntary. She has objected to the prayer made in the petition.
4. Faced with the objection from the complainant-respondent No.2, counsel for the petitioners seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the petition.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

28.07.2023
Kamal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No